East Bay Innovation Academy

California Department of Education School Accountability Report Card

Reported Using Data from the 2015-16 School Year

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians, and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the <u>CDE DataQuest Web page</u> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

Devin Krugman, Head of School

Principal, East Bay Innovation Academy

About Our School

Contact

East Bay Innovation Academy 3400 Malcolm Ave. Oakland, CA 94605-5353

Phone: 510-577-9557 E-mail: <u>info@eastbayia.org</u>

About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)

District Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)			
District Name	Oakland Unified		
Phone Number	(510) 434-7790		
Superintendent	Antwan Wilson		
E-mail Address	antwan.wilson@ousd.org		
Web Site	http://www.ousd.org		

School Contact Information (School Year 2016-17)			
School Name	East Bay Innovation Academy		
Street	3400 Malcolm Ave.		
City, State, Zip	Oakland, Ca, 94605-5353		
Phone Number	510-577-9557		
Principal	Devin Krugman, Head of School		
E-mail Address	info@eastbayia.org		
Web Site	http://eastbayia.org		
County-District- School (CDS) Cod	01612590129932 e		

Last updated: 1/29/2017

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2016-17)

Mission:

To prepare a diverse group of students to be successful in college and to be thoughtful, engaged citizens who are leaders and innovators in a 21st century global world.

Vision:

EBIA is rethinking how schools are run and structured, so that they better reflect the needs of a 21st century world. Most schools have not changed their structure, organization, facilities or instruction in over 50 years. While schools have not changed, our world has, leaving many of our schools, even the "best" ones, behind. EBIA offers a powerful option for Oakland families and educators to build a diverse school that addresses the needs of the 21st century and beyond.

Our Learning Model:

EBIA is a rigorous STEAM (Science, Technology, Engineering, Art and Math) school with a unique focus on the social and emotional skills students need to be leaders. Students learn to be problem solvers and strong advocates who work together and take responsibility for their own learning.

- Project Based Learning:Students work together on long-term, "real-world" projects that combine many different subjects, and learn to present those projects using technology.
- Blended Learning:Students alternate between different learning models, including online learning, and in later grades will be self-guided.
- Innovation + Design:We make and do things! EBIA is a safe place for students to explore their interests and learn the life lessons taught through practice and experimentation.

Goals:


- Develop leaders who work together and take ownership of their own learning experiences.
- Offer families a 21st century program that provides a new and innovative alternative to current Oakland schools. Increase the
 number of students who excel in math, technology, and innovation so they can become leaders in a new, quickly changing,
 economy.

2015-16 SARC -	East Bay Inr	novation Academy
----------------	--------------	------------------

• Hire talented educators who are excited to teach at a challenging and innovative public school.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2015-16)

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 6	116
Grade 7	132
Grade 8	96
Total Enrollment	344



Last updated: 1/29/2017

Student Enrollment by Student Group (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Percent of Total Enrollment			
Black or African American	16.0 %			
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.0 %			
Asian	2.9 %			
Filipino	2.9 %			
Hispanic or Latino	25.6 %			
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0.3 %			
White	36.6 %			
Two or More Races	15.7 %			
Other	0.0 %			
Student Group (Other)	Percent of Total Enrollment			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	16.9 %			
English Learners	4.9 %			
Students with Disabilities	11.0 %			
Foster Youth	0.3 %			

A. Conditions of Learning


State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair

Teacher Credentials


Teachers	School		District	
	2014- 15	2015- 16	2016- 17	2016- 17
With Full Credential	11	15	21	
Without Full Credential	0	1	4	
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence (with full credential)	0	0	0	



Last updated: 1/29/2017

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

Indicator	2014- 15	2015- 16	2016- 17
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	0	0
Total Teacher Misassignments*	0	0	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0



Note: "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

 $[\]hbox{* Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English learners.}$

Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers (School Year 2015-16)

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers
This School	100.0%	0.0%
All Schools in District	80.0%	20.0%
High-Poverty Schools in District	79.0%	21.0%
Low-Poverty Schools in District	95.0%	5.0%

Note: High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 39 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Last updated: 1/29/2017

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2016-17)

Year and month in which data were collected: January 2017

Subject	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/year of Adoption	From Most Recent Adoption?	Percent Students Lacking Own Assigned Copy
Reading/Language Arts	EBIA utilizes a blended learning model wherein all students are assigned a Chromebook laptop upon enrollment in the school. This laptop allows students to access instructional content utilized in the classroom across numerous blended learning platforms. This model ensures that all information is up to date and current.		0.0 %
	Further, in Reading/Language Arts courses, students have access to sites such as Achieve3000, Gooru and more to develop literacy skills.		
Mathematics	EBIA utilizes a blended learning model wherein all students are assigned a Chromebook laptop upon enrollment in the school. This laptop allows students to access instructional content utilized in the classroom across numerous blended learning platforms. This model ensures that all information is up to date and current. Further, in math courses, students have access to sites such as Think Through Math, ALEKS, Khan Academy and more to develop mathematical thinking skills and mastery of core concepts.		0.0 %
Science	EBIA utilizes a blended learning model wherein all students are assigned a Chromebook laptop upon enrollment in the school. This laptop allows students to access instructional content utilized in the classroom across numerous blended learning platforms. This model ensures that all information is up to date and current. Further, in science courses, students have access to sites such as Achieve3000, Gooru and more to develop literacy and scientific thinking skills.		0.0 %
History-Social Science	EBIA utilizes a blended learning model wherein all students are assigned a Chromebook laptop upon enrollment in the school. This laptop allows students to access instructional content utilized in the classroom across numerous blended learning platforms. This model ensures that all information is up to date and current. Further, in history and social science courses, students have access to sites such as Achieve3000, Gooru and more to develop literacy and historical thinking skills.		0.0 %
Foreign Language	EBIA utilizes a blended learning model wherein all students are assigned a Chromebook laptop upon enrollment in the school. This laptop allows students to access instructional content utilized in the classroom across numerous blended learning platforms. This model ensures that all information is up to date and current.		0.0 %
Health	EBIA utilizes a blended learning model wherein all students are assigned a Chromebook laptop upon enrollment in the school. This laptop allows students to access instructional content utilized in the classroom across numerous blended learning platforms. This model ensures that all information is up to date and current.		0.0 %
Visual and Performing Arts	EBIA utilizes a blended learning model wherein all students are assigned a Chromebook laptop upon enrollment in		0.0 %

2015-16 SARC - East Bay Innovation Academy

	the school. This laptop allows students to access instructional content utilized in the classroom across nume blended learning platforms. This model ensures that all information is up to date and current. Further, in visual and performing arts courses, students have access to a wealth of classroom supplies inclu those applicable to mediums such as painting, drawing, sculpture and more.	erous	Laot Bay		
1	ce Lab N/A it (Grades 9-		N/A	0.0 %	

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements

EBIA is located in an OUSD facility, secured through the Prop 39 process at 3400 Malcolm Ave, Oakland, CA 94605. The campus is a former elementary school with 12 classrooms, a multipurpose room that is used as a gym, auditorium, community room, and a lunch area. There is a spacious outdoor area, teacher and administrative offices, and outdoor parking. Each classroom is equipped with an LCD projector and the entire building is equipped for wireless internet. There is a separate student and teacher network. The building is equipped with an alarm system that is armed every evening when faculty leave. The facilities are well maintained and in good condition and are adequate for the delivery of the instructional program. EBIA contracts directly for custodial services and the facilities are cleaned on a daily basis.

Last updated: 1/29/2017

School Facility Good Repair Status

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: January 2017

		Repair Needed and
System Inspected	Rating	Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	Good	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	Good	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/Vermin Infestation	Good	
Electrical: Electrical	Good	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/Fountains	Good	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	Good	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	Good	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/Doors/Gates/Fences	Good	

Overall Facility Rate

Year and month of the most recent FIT report: January 2017

Overall Rating Good Last updated: 1/29/2017

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study.

CAASPP Test Results in English Language Arts/Literacy (ELA) and Mathematics for All Students

	Pe	rcent of Stude	ents Meeting o	r Exceeding the	e State Standa	rds
	Sch	iool	Dis	trict	St	ate
Subject	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16	2014-15	2015-16
English Language Arts / Literacy (grades 3-8 and 11)	59.0%	64.0%				
Mathematics (grades 3-8 and 11)	52.0%	51.0%				

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in ELA by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

ELA - Grade 3

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students				
Male				
Female				
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students				
Male				
Female				
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students				
Male				
Female				
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	117	116	99.2%	57.8%
Male	70	69	98.6%	50.7%
Female	47	47	100.0%	68.1%
Black or African American	16	16	100.0%	37.5%
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	35	35	100.0%	40.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	46	45	97.8%	80.0%
Two or More Races	17	17	100.0%	58.8%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	25	25	100.0%	32.0%
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	132	131	99.2%	67.2%
Male	75	74	98.7%	62.2%
Female	57	57	100.0%	73.7%
Black or African American	18	18	100.0%	44.4%
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	32	32	100.0%	50.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	45	44	97.8%	84.1%
Two or More Races	25	25	100.0%	68.0%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	16	16	100.0%	31.3%
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities	15	15	100.0%	26.7%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	95	92	96.8%	68.5%
Male	59	58	98.3%	67.2%
Female	36	34	94.4%	70.6%
Black or African American	21	21	100.0%	42.9%
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	21	21	100.0%	61.9%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	35	33	94.3%	93.9%
Two or More Races	12	11	91.7%	54.6%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	18	18	100.0%	55.6%
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities	14	12	85.7%	41.7%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students				
Male				
Female				
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Mathematics by Student Group

Grades Three through Eight and Grade Eleven (School Year 2015-16)

Mathematics - Grade 3

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students				
Male				
Female				
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students				
Male				
Female				
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students				
Male				
Female				
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	117	116	99.2%	56.9%
Male	70	69	98.6%	52.2%
Female	47	47	100.0%	63.8%
Black or African American	16	16	100.0%	50.0%
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	35	35	100.0%	31.4%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	46	45	97.8%	82.2%
Two or More Races	17	17	100.0%	52.9%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	25	25	100.0%	24.0%
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	132	131	99.2%	50.4%
Male	75	74	98.7%	48.7%
Female	57	57	100.0%	52.6%
Black or African American	18	18	100.0%	33.3%
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	32	32	100.0%	28.1%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	45	44	97.8%	72.7%
Two or More Races	25	25	100.0%	60.0%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	16	16	100.0%	25.0%
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities	15	15	100.0%	13.3%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	95	93	97.9%	43.0%
Male	59	58	98.3%	48.3%
Female	36	35	97.2%	34.3%
Black or African American	21	21	100.0%	23.8%
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	21	21	100.0%	23.8%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White	35	34	97.1%	67.7%
Two or More Races	12	11	91.7%	27.3%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	18	18	100.0%	27.8%
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities	14	13	92.9%	23.1%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students				
Male				
Female				
Black or African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino				
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
White				
Two or More Races				
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged				
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities				
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services				
Foster Youth				

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students

			Percenta	ge of Studen	ts Scoring at	Proficient or	Advanced		
	School			District			State		
Subject	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)	0.0%	0.0%	62.0%	0.0%	0.0%	39.0%	60.0%	56.0%	54.0%

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Last updated: 1/29/2017

CAASPP Tests Results in Science by Student Group Grades Five, Eight and Grade Ten (School Year 2015-16)

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number of Students with Valid Scores	Percent of Students with Valid Scores	Percent Proficient or Advanced
All Students	95	92	96.8%	62.0%
Male	59	57	96.6%	64.9%
Female	36	35	97.2%	57.1%
Black or African American	21	21	100.0%	42.9%
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Asian				
Filipino				
Hispanic or Latino	21	20	95.2%	45.0%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
White	35	34	97.1%	85.3%
Two or More Races	12	11	91.7%	54.6%
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	18	18	100.0%	50.0%
English Learners				
Students with Disabilities	14	13	92.9%	30.8%
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	0	0	0.0%	0.0%
Foster Youth				

Note: Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2015-16)

Within the 2015-2016 school year, EBIA served students in grades 6, 7 and 8. In order to prepare students to begin thinking of areas of interest and in support of college and career readiness, EBIA supports an intersession program wherein students complete a number of self-selected projects on and off site that engage them in science and technology careers and skills. These have included coding, robotics, bike mechanics and repair, urban farming and more.

Last updated: 1/29/2017

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2015-16)

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of Pupils Participating in CTE	
Percent of Pupils Completing a CTE Program and Earning a High School Diploma	
Percent of CTE Courses Sequenced or Articulated Between the School and Institutions of Postsecondary Education	-

Last updated: 1/29/2017

Courses for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) Admission

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
2015-16 Pupils Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	
2014-15 Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	0.0%

Last updated: 1/29/2017

State Priority: Other Pupil Outcomes

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Other Pupil Outcomes (Priority 8):

• Pupil outcomes in the subject area of physical education

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2015-16)

	Perce	entage of Students Meeting Fitness Standa	nrds
Grade Level	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
7	19.2%	28.5%	10.0%

Note: Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

• Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2016-17)

Parent involvement is a big part of our success. There are numerous avenues for parents to become involved in school activities. We have several parent volunteers working as office staff, tech support and outreach for enrollment.

Every EBIA family is matched with a school advisor, who will stay with the family throughout their years at EBIA. Advisors meet with families regularly to map out personal academic, social-emotional, and career goals for each student.

Cafelito is an opportunity for parents to come in and have discussions with other parents and staff. It is held twice a month. In order to make it available to as many parents as possible, one session is in the morning and the other is in the evening.

The Parent Advisory Committees (PACs) are groups of parents and community members who gather together with a common goal - to make great things happen at EBIA! Each PAC has a specific focus. We encourage parents with skills that may help, or that are interested in what a PAC is doing to join.

We have an EBIA mailing list to keep the parents up-to-date on school activities. We also have a section of our website dedicated to EBIA families. It includes several way for families to get involved with school activities.

State Priority: Pupil Engagement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Engagement (Priority 5):


- High school dropout rates; and
- High school graduation rates

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate)

	School				District		State		
Indicator	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15
Dropout Rate	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.4%	11.5%	10.7%
Graduation Rate	0.00	0.00	0.00	59.60	62.60	66.80	80.44	80.95	82.27

Dropout/Graduation Rate (Four-Year Cohort Rate) Chart

Page 27 of 35



Completion of High School Graduation Requirements - Graduating Class of 2015

(One-Year Rate)

Student Group	School	District	State
All Students		75	85
Black or African American		69	77
American Indian or Alaska Native		47	75
Asian		82	99
Filipino		76	97
Hispanic or Latino		76	84
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander		62	85
White		86	87
Two or More Races		91	91
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged		73	77
English Learners		44	51
Students with Disabilities		82	68
Foster Youth			

Last updated: 1/29/2017


State Priority: School Climate


The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety

Suspensions and Expulsions

	School				District		State			
Rate	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	
Suspensions	0.0	5.0	0.9	4.8	4.1	4.1	4.4	3.8	3.7	
Expulsions	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	





Last updated: 1/29/2017

School Safety Plan (School Year 2016-17)

EBIA has worked hard to ensure the School Safety Plan meets or exceeds safety standards. There is a designated team on site to review and monitor the safety plan periodically. The Plan includes but is not limited to Emergency Safety Drills, building inspections and safety training for staff members.

All staff and students participate in training to ensure familiarity with emergency procedures. All staff members are certified in first aid and CPR. The School also complies with the Education Code requirement that each new employee be fingerprinted for the purpose of obtaining a criminal background check as a condition of employment.

EBIA follows standard health and safety practices as required by State and local law. All visitors to the school are required to sign in and indicate the purpose of their visit. No unauthorized visitors are allowed on the campus. The School practices close communication with parents, such as calling home when a student is sick or in the case of a medical emergency to ensure the health and safety of the student body

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2016-17)

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not in PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	84
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	78.5%

Note: Cells with NA values do not require data.

Last updated: 1/29/2017

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)

	20:		20:	2015-16								
		Numb	er of Clas	sses *		Number of Classes *			Number of Classes *			
Grade Level	Average Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Average Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+	Average Class Size	1-20	21-32	33+
K	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0				
1	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0				
2	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0				
3	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0				
4	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0				
5	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0				
6	0.0	0	0	0	16.0	8	0	0	29.0	0	4	0
Other	0.0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0				

st Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

	2013-14			2014-15			2015-16					
		Number of Classes * Number of Classes *		sses *		Number of Classes *						
Subject	Average Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Average Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+	Average Class Size	1-22	23-32	33+
English	0.0	0	0	0	20.0	3	0	0	28.0	0	8	0
Mathematics	0.0	0	0	0	20.0	3	0	0	28.0	0	8	0
Science	0.0	0	0	0	20.0	3	0	0	28.0	0	8	0
Social Science	0.0	0	0	0	20.0	3	0	0	28.0	0	8	0

^{*} Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area rather than grade level.

Last updated: 1/29/2017

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2015-16)

Title	Number of FTE* Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	18.0	19.0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	1.0	N/A
Library Media Teacher (librarian)	0.0	N/A
Library Media Services Staff (paraprofessional)	0.0	N/A
Psychologist	0.5	N/A
Social Worker	0.0	N/A
Nurse	0.0	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.5	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	2.0	N/A
Other		N/A

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Last updated: 1/29/2017

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental/Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic/Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site				
District	N/A	N/A	\$0.0	\$58033.0
Percent Difference – School Site and District				0.5%
State	N/A	N/A	\$5677.0	\$75837.0
Percent Difference – School Site and State				

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

Last updated: 1/30/2017

^{*}One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2015-16)


In addition to our previously mentioned advisory program, EBIA provides free before school and after school care and programming for all students. These programs include academic support and intervention, sports and STEAM-based clubs.


Last updated: 1/30/2017

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2014-15)

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$42,498	\$45,092
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$58,516	\$71,627
Highest Teacher Salary	\$76,402	\$93,288
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$94,016	\$115,631
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$96,176	\$120,915
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$109,882	\$132,029
Superintendent Salary	\$288,000	\$249,537
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	30.0%	37.0%
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	9.0%	5.0%

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page at http://www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.





Advanced Placement (AP) Courses (School Year 2015-16)

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered*	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science		N/A
English		N/A
Fine and Performing Arts		N/A
Foreign Language		N/A
Mathematics		N/A
Science		N/A
Social Science		N/A
All Courses		

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

^{*}Where there are student course enrollments of at least one student.

Professional Development

East Bay Innovation Academy has been in operation since the 2014-2015 school year. EBIA faculty are given enormous opportunity to grow as educators and develop new skills.

EBIA has created a teacher Development Cycle (TDC) that begins with setting goals and growth plans for the school year in the Personalized Educator Plan (PEP). School leaders also commit to regular observation and feedback to ensure that teachers are meeting their goals and that they have the proper resources to do so. Additionally, the school offers a number of days of professional development per year, in order for teachers to meet their professional development goals. These professional development days take place at the start of the year, during intersession (a 2 week period 3 times during the school year), at the end of the year, and on dedicated days during the school year. A portion of regular leadership team (faculty) meetings is also be dedicated to professional development. Intrinsic in these professional development sessions are several days dedicated to data analysis of student assessment and student work, to ensure that teachers are meeting their own individual classroom goals as well as the school's instructional goals. PD days are also be dedicated to helping targeted student populations, including special education, ELs, and other struggling students. Finally, EBIA has incorporate the newest technology in teacher development, including video, observation data and online talent management systems.

In the spirit of our innovation and design focus, a portion of professional development time is investigating the design of the school and making sure the school is using the most up to date, innovative practices in innovation. Faculty undergoes the design process themselves, investigating how to continually "iterate" and improve upon the school and the student experience.